hink the case luw

NORTH CAROLINA

GUILFORD COUNTY

1998 JAN 22 PM 1: 50 SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE FILE NO. 97CRS-39580 GUILFORD COUNTY, C.S.C.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

VS.

MOTION TO ALLOW DEFENSE COUNSEL TO QUESTION JURORS SUBSEQUENT TO CHALLENGE FOR CAUSE BY STATE

RONNIE LEE KIMBLE, DEFENDANT.

NOW COMES the defendant, above-named, through counsel, and moves this court pursuant to the Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and parallel provisions of the North Carolina Constitution to allow the defense to question jurors that the state has challenged for cause as a result of that juror's opposition to the death penalty. In support of this motion, the defendant shows the court the following:

- The defendant is charged with first degree murder and the state has indicated it will seek the death penalty.
- In capital cases, the state is typically allowed to "death qualify" the jury; thereby excusing certain jurors based on their views concerning the death penalty.
- The Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments prohibit the exclusion of jurors for cause simply because they express opposition to the death penalty or express scruples against its infliction.

- 4. The erroneous removal of even one juror because of his or her opposition to the death penalty constitutes reversible error.
- 5. The defense should be allowed to questions jurors challenged for cause by the state to clarify their views on the death penalty and to allow appellate courts to be able to determine if said jurors were properly removed for cause.

WHEREFORE, the defendant respectfully prays the court to allow defense counsel to question jurors challenged for cause because of their views on the death penalty.

This the  $\frac{22}{4}$  day of  $\frac{340097}{4}$ 

1992

W. DAVID LLOYD

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT

101 South Elm St.

Greensboro, N.C. 27401

Telephone: 910-691-0550

JOHN B. HATFIELD, Jr.,/

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT

219 W. Washington Street Greensboro, NC 27401