

Dear Danielle,

I wanted to write and "Thank you" for the work done on my brief. It looks good from a layman's point of view. I've read over it and wanted to point a fact out to you.

Brief page 7 line 12-14 "... and notes Stewart hand-wrote during conversation with defendant, including amounts of money and suggested means of killings." (Tpp63-65)

William Stewart added this information after the fact to fabricate his story. I had never seen this information until the sentence hearing. Bowman testified it to be Stewart's notes. The handwritings are ~~clearly~~ ^{plainly} different.

Brief ~~page~~ ^{page} 7 line ~~12-14~~ ⁹ "... defendant's hand-writing".
U.S. v McVeigh + Nichols No. 96 CR 68-M (D. Colo.)

Abstract: Handwriting identification evidence is no more reliable than astrology, palm readings, or psychic readings. This alleged expertise has never been validated . . .

The ~~never~~ ^{never} ~~asked~~ ^{asked} me if each of these notes ~~or~~ ^{or} pages were ~~mine~~ ^{mine}.
Therefore only the page with a finger print ~~on it~~ ^{on it} ~~was used as the~~ ^{was used as the} ~~primary~~ ^{primary} ~~evidence~~ ^{evidence} was linked to me.