Honor is going to pass sentence.

25

THE COURT: I'll certainly look at it if it comes here at any time, or even if it---

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you very much. If Your Honor please, Your Honor has heard the evidence in this case based upon the plea to all these offenses. The defendant understands that he will receive consecutive sentences based upon the Court's interpretation of the evidence that Your Honor has heard, based upon the fact that Your Honor is to decide within the Level 2 punishment of mitigation to aggravation what this particular sentence will be in each and every category and as to each and every offense.

justify anything that has come into evidence here today or yesterday with respect to the crime itself. This Court is very able Court, very knowledgeable Court. This Court has heard a number of cases. Every case stands on its own bottom, and every murder case is a bad case. As the old bard once said, "Murder shrieks out," and it does. But if Your Honor pleases, we'd ask on behalf of the defendant, Ted Kimble, and his mother and father that Your Honor give close scruple to the facts as elicited by the State and by the defendant as to the particular factors in this homicide. When Your Honor fashions a judgment in this case, the only thing that we could ask

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that Your Honor closely scrutinize the evidence, particularly with respect to what Your Honor has heard today with respect to these victims as to this so-called alleged and intended killing of witnesses.

Now, if Your Honor please, this case is replete throughout with promises of sentence reductions, with promises of early release if you'll tell us what you know, promises made by the State, the all powerful State, and actually carried through as to early release of somebody who tells your story. A promise. And I say to Your Honor that that evidence is fraught with error. say to Your Honor in all candor that this so-called plot to kill eight witnesses does not hold water based upon the evidence that Your Honor has heard. And one of the excellent things about a plea is that you've got someone who is learned sitting on the Bench who can distinguish these things. Now, Your Honor heard the evidence. Your Honor heard the evidence from these people in custody. What reason have they got to tell a lie? They're not going to get any sentence reduction. They're not going to get out early. And I just say to Your Honor that I don't believe William Stewart is worthy of belief. And I don't believe there was any serious plot to kill any eight witnesses. If indeed it was, it was about the most ludicrous plot I've ever heard of in my life.

couple that with a press release announcing to the world that there's some kind of a plot to kill eight witnesses based upon the testimony, or so-called affidavit or so-called statement of one Stewart.

Now, if Your Honor pleases, we'd ask that you closely scrutinize the factors so that when you fashion a judgment, you'll fashion a judgment that does do justice. Justice tempered with mercy, if Your Honor please. And that, I think is what the robe and being a judge is all about. If you can do justice to the victim, to this defendant, tempered with mercy, that's all in the world we ask, if Your Honor please. That's all in the world we can ask because we've thrown ourselves on the mercy of the Court. On the mercy of the Court.

And, if Your Honor pleases, Your Honor heard testimony yesterday as to the character of this young man from the time he was in the third or fourth grade all the way up to until the present or till the time he got in this trouble. And I submit to the Court that the preponderance of the evidence shows that this defendant, number 12 in the mitigating factors, has been a person of good character and had a good reputation in the community in which he lived at some point in time. Also number 18, that he has a support system in the community. That is, his friends and neighbors, and certainly his loving

mother and father. And number 19, he has a positive employment history, and at the time of this was gainfully employed.

Your Honor can sentence anywhere from the lowest of the mitigating to the highest of the aggravating, and we would say to Your Honor to please give consideration to the mitigating factors in this case. And please, we ask Your Honor, to render a judgment which will give this young man some hope in the future of returning to society after he's paid his debt to society at some point in time.

We humbly suggest to this Court that Your Honor sentence from the mitigating range. If not, from the presumptive range. And with that, I'll yield to Mr. Crumpler.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Counsel.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Crumpler.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Anybody here from One Step?

MR. CRUMPLER: May it please the Court, I had asked Mr. Zimmerman to go first simply because this case is so complex and there's so many deep emotions, I really was not clear in my mind how to proceed. I compliment Mr. Zimmerman on his expressions.