NORTH CAROLINA
GUILFORD COUNTY

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 97-CRS-23656, 39581, 98-CRS-23486, 99-CRS-23241-23248

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

-VS-

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THEODORE MEAD KIMBLE,

DEFENDANT.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE, SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION, GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA, AT THE JANUARY 25, 1999, REGULAR CRIMINAL SESSION, BEFORE THE HONORABLE PETER M. MCHUGH, JUDGE PRESIDING.

## **APPEARANCES**

RICHARD PANOSH
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY
EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA 27401
ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

H.W. ZIMMERMAN, JR.
FRED G. CRUMPLER, JR.
WHITE & CRUMPLER
11 WEST FOURTH STREET
WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA 27101

DEBORAH R. WISE OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT GREENSBORO, NORTH CAROLINA 27402

THURSDAY, JANUARY 28, 1999 - 3:08 O'CLOCK P.M. 1 (THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM.) 2 THE COURT: - ALL RIGHT, MR. PANOSH. 3 MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, WE'RE READY TO PROCEED IN 4 THE MATTER OF THEODORE KIMBLE. THIS IS 97-CRS-39581 ---5 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- BEFORE HE DOES THAT, IF YOUR HONOR 6 PLEASE, LET'S CLEAR UP THAT OTHER MATTER. WE HAVE NO OBJECTION 7 TO THE COURT ENTERING AN ORDER QUASHING THE SUBPOENA AGAINST 8 PAULA CHRISTIAN WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THE AFFIDAVITS OF 9 MS. CHRISTIAN AND MS. LAMB CAN BE OFFERED AND RECEIVED INTO 10 11 EVIDENCE. THE COURT:- THANK YOU. UPON THE MOTION OF THE 12 RESPONDENT TO THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM AND 13 WITH THE CONSENT OF THE DEFENDANT THROUGH COUNSEL, THE COURT 14 GRANTS THE MOTION TO QUASH THE SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM, AND THE 15 COURT WILL RECEIVE IN EVIDENCE THE AFFIDAVIT OF THE CUSTODIAN 16 OF THE RECORDS OF THE RESPONDENT NEWSPAPER CORPORATION. THANK 17 YOU, COUNSEL. 18 ALL RIGHT, MR. PANOSH. YOU MAY PROCEED. 19 MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, AS I SAID, THESE MATTERS 20 WERE SET FOR HEARING OF MOTIONS. THE PARTIES HAVE BEEN ABLE TO 21 RESOLVE THE CASES, AND THOSE MOTIONS, APPARENTLY, WILL NOT BE 22 NECESSARY. AT THIS TIME, I'D LIKE TO FILE BILLS OF 23

INFORMATION, 99-CRS-23241 THROUGH 23248, EIGHT COUNTS OF

SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER. THESE CASES ---

24

THE COURT:- HAS THE DEFENDANT AND COUNSEL EXECUTED THE BILL OF INFORMATION ON EACH COUNT? 2 MR. PANOSH:- YES, SIR. 3 THE COURT: - THANK YOU. 4 MR. PANOSH:- THESE CASES AROSE IN TROY, WHICH IS 5 MONTGOMERY COUNTY. WE HAVE A FAXED COPY OF THE WAIVER OF VENUE 6 SIGNED BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THAT JURISDICTION, AND WE WILL PROVIDE YOUR HONOR AND THE FILE WITH A SIGNED ORIGINAL IN 8 DUE COURSE. MAY I APPROACH? 9 THE COURT:- YES. THE COURT WILL RECEIVE THE 10 FACSIMILE COPY AND ORDER IT FILED PENDING RECEIPT OF THE 11 ORIGINAL. 12 (DOCUMENT IS HANDED TO THE COURT BY MR. PANOSH.) 13 THE COURT:- MR. ZIMMERMAN AND MR. CRUMPLER, YOU AND 14 YOUR CLIENT HAVE EACH CONSENTED TO THE WAIVER OF VENUE TOGETHER 15 WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THIS COUNTY AND THE DISTRICT 16 ATTORNEY FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, IS THAT CORRECT? 17 MR. CRUMPLER:- YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE CONSENTED AND 18 CONSENTED AFTER THOROUGHLY DISCUSSING THAT IN DETAIL WITH OUR 19 20 CLIENT. THE COURT:- THANK YOU. 21 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- I BELIEVE THE CLIENT HAS ALSO 22 CONSENTED AND WE DO CONSENT. 23 THE COURT:- THANK YOU. 24 YOU MAY PROCEED AT THIS TIME, MR. PANOSH. 25

1	MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, THERE IS A TRANSCRIPT OF
2	PLEA.
3	AT THIS TIME, HOW DOES YOUR CLIENT PLEAD IN
4	97-CRS-39581, TO SECOND DEGREE MURDER?
5	MR. CRUMPLER:- HE PLEADS GUILTY, YOUR HONOR.
6	MR. PANOSH:- 97-CRS-23656, CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT
7	FIRST DEGREE MURDER?
8	MR. CRUMPLER:- HE PLEADS GUILTY.
9	MR. PANOSH: - 98-CRS-23486, FIRST DEGREE ARSON?
10	MR. CRUMPLER:- HE PLEADS GUILTY.
11	MR. PANOSH:- AND 99-CRS-23241 THROUGH 23248, EIGHT
12	COUNTS OF SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER?
13	MR. CRUMPLER:- NOW, THEY ARE THE 1998 CASES SHOWN OF
14	THE PLEA TRANSCRIPT?
15	MR. PANOSH:- IT SHOULD BE '99. THEY'RE FILED TODAY
16	MR. CRUMPLER:- OKAY. YOUR HONOR, HIS PLEA IS
17	GUILTY, BUT UNDER THE VIRTUE OF THE ALFORD PLEAS.
18	THE COURT:- ALFORD PLEA WITH REGARD TO THE OFFENSES
19	PRESENTED ON THE BILLS OF INFORMATION ONLY?
20	MR. CRUMPLER:- YES, SIR.
21	THE COURT:- ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. GUILTY PLEA
22	WITHOUT RESERVATION WITH REGARD TO THE OTHER MATTERS PRESENTED
23	BY THE STATE?
24	MR. CRUMPLER:- YES, SIR.
25	THE COURT: - THANK YOU.

MR. ZIMMERMAN:- WELL, THERE IS A PLEA AGREEMENT, IF 1 YOUR HONOR PLEASE, ON THE BACK SIDE. 2 THE COURT:- YES, SIR. I'M REFERRING TO THERE'S NO 3 ALFORD PLEA WITH REGARD TO THE MURDER, CONSPIRACY AND ARSON. 4 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- NO. 5 MR. PANOSH:- CAN YOU STIPULATE YOUR CLIENT IS A 6 LEVEL TWO OFFENDER? 7 MR. CRUMPLER:- YES, WE WILL. 8 MR. PANOSH:- MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? 9 THE COURT:- YES, SIR. 10 (DOCUMENT IS HANDED TO THE COURT BY MR. PANOSH.) 11 THE COURT:- COUNSEL, ASK YOUR CLIENT TO STAND TO BE 12 SWORN, PLEASE. 13 PLACE HIM UNDER OATH ON THE TRANSCRIPT, PLEASE. 14 CLERK:- DO YOU SWEAR TO GIVE TRUE ANSWERS TO THE 15 COURT AND THAT IS YOUR SIGNATURE, SO HELP YOU GOD? 16 DEFENDANT:- I DO. 17 THE COURT:- WILL YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR 18 THE COURT RECORD AT THIS TIME? 19 DEFENDANT:- THEODORE MEAD KIMBLE. 20 THE COURT:- HOW OLD ARE YOU, MR. KIMBLE? 21 DEFENDANT:- TWENTY-NINE. 22 THE COURT:- WHAT'S THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION 23 THAT YOU'VE COMPLETED? 24 DEFENDANT:- TWELFTH GRADE. 25

THE COURT:- ARE YOU ABLE TO READ AND WRITE, MR. 1 2 KIMBLE? DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 3 THE COURT:- HAVE YOU READ THE TRANSCRIPT OF PLEA 4 THAT'S BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE COURT IN THESE CASES? 5 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 6 THE COURT:- ARE ALL THE ENTRIES ON THE TRANSCRIPT OF 7 PLEA TRUE AND CORRECT AS FAR AS YOU KNOW? 8 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 9 THE COURT:- AND HAVE YOU SIGNED THE TRANSCRIPT OF 10 PLEA AS THE DEFENDANT WHO IS CHARGED IN THESE ACTIONS? 11 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 12 THE COURT:- CAN YOU HEAR AND CAN YOU UNDERSTAND 13 EVERYTHING I'M SAYING AT THIS TIME? 14 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 15 THE COURT:- DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT YOU HAVE THE 16 RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT, THAT ANY STATEMENT YOU MAKE MAY BE USED 17 18 AGAINST YOU? DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 19 THE COURT:- ARE YOU PRESENTLY UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF 20 ALCOHOL, DRUGS, NARCOTICS, MEDICINES, PILLS, OR ANY OTHER 21 22 INTOXICANTS? DEFENDANT:- NO, SIR. 23 THE COURT:- WHEN WAS LAST TIME YOU USED OR CONSUMED 24 ANY SUCH SUBSTANCE? 25

DEFENDANT:- I'M ON MEDICATION. 1 THE COURT:- AND WHAT KIND OF MEDICATION ARE YOU 3 TAKING, SIR? DEFENDANT:- VISTARIL AND PAXIL. THE COURT:- I'M SORRY? 5 DEFENDANT:- VISTARIL AND PAXIL. ANTIDEPRESSANTS. 6 THE COURT:- ALL RIGHT. WHEN -- WHAT DOSAGE OF THAT 7 MEDICATION ARE YOU PRESCRIBED AND WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU 8 TOOK ANY SUCH DOSAGE? 9 DEFENDANT:- I TOOK IT THIS MORNING. THREE TIMES A 10 DAY, 50 MILLIGRAMS OF VISTARIL, AND 20 MILLIGRAMS A DAY OF 11 12 PAXIL. THE COURT:- IS THAT MEDICATION IN ANY WAY AFFECTING 13 YOUR ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND THE NATURE OF THIS PROCEEDING TAKING 14 PLACE AT THIS TIME? 15 DEFENDANT:- NO, SIR. 16 THE COURT:- ARE YOU SATISFIED THAT IT IS NOT 17 IMPAIRING YOUR ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND IN ANY WAY? 18 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 19 THE COURT: - ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. HAVE THE CHARGES 20 AGAINST YOU BEEN EXPLAINED TO YOU BY YOUR ATTORNEYS AND DO YOU 21 UNDERSTAND THE NATURE OF THOSE CHARGES? 22 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 23 THE COURT:- HAVE YOU BEEN EXPLAINED AND DO YOU 24 UNDERSTAND EACH ELEMENT OF EACH OF THE OFFENSES YOU'RE PLEADING 25

GUILTY TO? 1 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 2 THE COURT:- ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR ATTORNEYS' 3 LEGAL SERVICES ON YOUR BEHALF? 4 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 5 THE COURT:- HAVE YOU DISCUSSED ANY POSSIBLE DEFENSES 6 THAT YOU MAY HAVE AVAILABLE TO ASSERT AGAINST THESE VARIOUS 7 CHARGES THAT HAVE BEEN FILED AGAINST YOU? 8 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 9 THE COURT:- AND YOU ARE SATISFIED WITH YOUR 10 ATTORNEYS' SERVICES WITH REGARD TO THEIR CONSULTATION, IS THAT 11 CORRECT? 12 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 13 THE COURT:- ALL RIGHT. YOU UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE THE 14 RIGHT TO PLEAD NOT GUILTY TO THESE CHARGES AND TO BE TRIED BY A 15 JURY UPON A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY? 16 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 17 THE COURT:- DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, AT SUCH TRIAL, 18 YOU WOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO CONFRONT THE WITNESSES AGAINST YOU 19 AND TO CROSS EXAMINE THE WITNESSES AGAINST YOU? 20 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 21 THE COURT:- AND DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, BY ENTRY OF 22 THIS PLEA, YOU ARE WAIVING, THAT IS, GIVING UP, THESE AND ALL 23 OF YOUR OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS RELATING TO BEING TRIED BY 24 A JURY? 25

DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.

THE COURT: — DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT AT THIS

PROCEEDING, YOU ARE TENDERING PLEAS OF GUILTY TO THE FOLLOWING

OFFENSES: YOU ARE PLEADING GUILTY TO THE OFFENSE OF SECOND

DEGREE MURDER IN CASE 97—CRS—39581; YOU ARE PLEADING GUILTY TO

THE OFFENSE OF CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN CASE

97—CRS—23656; YOU ARE PLEADING GUILTY TO THE CLASS D FELONY OF

FIRST DEGREE ARSON IN CASE 98—CRS—23486, AND YOU ARE PLEADING

GUILTY TO EIGHT COUNTS OF THE CLASS C FELONY OF SOLICITATION TO

COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER UPON BILLS OF INFORMATION SUBMITTED

IN CASES 23241 THROUGH CASES 23248. IS THAT YOUR

UNDERSTANDING, MR. KIMBLE, OF THE OFFENSES TO WHICH YOU'RE

PLEADING GUILTY AT THIS HEARING?

DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.

THE COURT: - DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, WHEN YOU PLEAD GUILTY TO THOSE SEVERAL OFFENSES, YOU ARE SUBJECT TO BEING SENTENCED TO A MAXIMUM AGGREGATE TERM OF IMPRISONMENT OF 3,177 MONTHS, ASSIGNED TO THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION?

DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.

THE COURT:- DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT, WITH REGARD TO CERTAIN OF THE OFFENSES TO WHICH YOU'RE PLEADING GUILTY, YOU ARE SUBJECT TO A MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE, AND THAT IS, WITH REGARD TO THE OFFENSES OF SECOND DEGREE MURDER AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER, YOU ARE SUBJECT TO A MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE OF AT LEAST 130 MONTHS ON EACH OF THOSE

OFFENSES, THAT WITH REGARD TO THE FIRST DEGREE ARSON TO WHICH 1 YOU'RE PLEADING GUILTY, YOU ARE SUBJECT TO BEING SENTENCED TO A 2 MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE OF AT LEAST 53 MONTHS, AND THAT WITH 3 REGARD TO EACH OF THE COUNTS OF SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FIRST 4 DEGREE MURDER, ON YOUR PLEA OF GUILTY, YOU ARE SUBJECT TO A 5 MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE OF AT LEAST 80 MONTHS IMPRISONMENT? 6 ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT -- ALL OF THOSE FACTORS, MR. KIMBLE? 7 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 8 THE COURT:- AT THIS TIME, DO YOU PERSONALLY PLEAD 9 GUILTY TO EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THOSE OFFENSES I'VE JUST 10 RELATED TO YOU? 11 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE EIGHT ---12 THE COURT:- THIS IS WITH REGARD TO THE GUILTY PLEA. 13 I'LL ADDRESS THE ALFORD SHORTLY. 14 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- THANK YOU. 15 THE COURT:- ARE YOU PLEADING GUILTY TO EACH OF THOSE 16 OFFENSES, MR. KIMBLE? 17 DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 18 THE COURT:- WITH REGARD TO THE PLEAS OF GUILTY TO 19 SECOND DEGREE MURDER, CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER 20 AND FIRST DEGREE ARSON, ARE YOU, IN FACT, GUILTY OF EACH OF 21 22 THOSE OFFENSES? DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR. 23 THE COURT:- WITH REGARD TO THE EIGHT COUNTS OF 24 SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER, ARE YOU PLEADING 25

GUILTY TO THOSE OFFENSES BECAUSE YOU CONSIDER IT TO BE IN YOUR BEST INTEREST TO DO SO?

DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.

THE COURT:- DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT WHEN YOU PLEAD
GUILTY TO THOSE OFFENSES UPON THAT CONDITION THAT YOU WILL BE
ADJUDGED GUILTY AND SENTENCED FOR THOSE OFFENSES WHETHER OR NOT
YOU, IN FACT, ADMIT THAT YOU ARE GUILTY OF THEM?

DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.

THE COURT:- HAVE YOU AGREED TO TENDER A PLEA OF
GUILTY TO THESE SEVERAL OFFENSES AS PART OF A PLEA ARRANGEMENT
THAT WAS NEGOTIATED ON YOUR BEHALF BY YOUR ATTORNEYS WITH THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY?

DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.

THE COURT: — IS THIS A CORRECT STATEMENT OF WHAT YOU UNDERSTAND YOUR FULL PLEA ARRANGEMENT TO BE: THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA HAS AGREED, PURSUANT TO THE PLEA ARRANGEMENT, TO ACCEPT A PLEA OF GUILTY TO SECOND DEGREE MURDER IN CASE 97—CRS—39581. COUNT ONE IN THAT — IN CASE 97—CRS—23656 SHALL BE DISMISSED BY THE STATE UPON YOUR PLEA OF GUILTY. IN RETURN, THE DEFENDANT AGREES TO ENTER PLEAS OF GUILTY TO SECOND DEGREE MURDER IN CASE 39581, CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER IN CASE 97—CRS—23656, AND FIRST DEGREE ARSON IN CASE 98—CRS—23486, AND EIGHT COUNTS OF SOLICITATION TO COMMIT FIRST DEGREE MURDER PURSUANT TO THE BILLS OF INFORMATION WHICH HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE COURT. THE DEFENDANT AGREES PURSUANT TO

1	THAT PLEA ARRANGEMENT AND UNDERSTANDS THAT HE WILL RECEIVE
2	CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES IN EACH OF THESE CASES. FURTHER, THE
3	DEFENDANT AGREES TO RETURN THE ASHES OF PATRICIA BLAKLEY KIMBLE
4	TO THE BLAKLEY FAMILY. THE STATE AGREES PURSUANT TO ITS
5	COMMITMENT AND THE PLEA ARRANGEMENT TO DISMISS ANY BREAKING AND
6	ENTERING OR LARCENY INDICTMENTS PENDING AGAINST THEODORE MEAD
7	KIMBLE WHICH ARE PRESENTLY PENDING IN GUILFORD COUNTY, NORTH
8	CAROLINA. THE PARTIES STIPULATE, PURSUANT TO THE PLEA
9	ARRANGEMENT, THAT THE DEFENDANT, THAT IS YOU, IS SUBJECT TO
10	SENTENCE AS A LEVEL TWO OFFENDER, AND THAT, PURSUANT TO THE
11	STRUCTURED SENTENCING ACT, THE MAXIMUM SENTENCE THAT THE
12	DEFENDANT MAY RECEIVE FOR EACH OF THE B2 FELONIES IS 254
13	MONTHS, FOR EACH CLASS C FELONY, IT'S 159 MONTHS, AND FOR THE
14	CLASS D FELONY, IT IS 108 MONTHS. IS WHAT I'VE JUST SAID TO
15	YOU A CORRECT STATEMENT OF WHAT YOU UNDERSTAND YOUR FULL PLEA
16	ARRANGEMENT TO BE, MR. KIMBLE?
17	DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.
18	THE COURT:- AND DO YOU PERSONALLY ACCEPT THE PLEA
19	ARRANGEMENT AT THIS TIME?
20	DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.
21	THE COURT:- OTHER THAN THE CONDITIONS OF THE PLEA
22	ARRANGEMENT I HAVE JUST RECITED TO YOU, HAS ANYONE THREATENED

YOU OR MADE ANY PROMISES TO YOU TO IN ANY WAY ATTEMPT TO CAUSE

YOU TO ENTER THIS PLEA OF GUILTY AGAINST YOUR WISHES?

DEFENDANT:- NO, SIR.

1	THE COURT:- ARE YOU ENTERING THE GUILTY PLEA OF YOUR
2	OWN FREE WILL AT THIS TIME, FULLY UNDERSTANDING WHAT YOU'RE
3	DOING?
4	DEFENDANT:- YES, SIR.
5	THE COURT:- DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS YOU'D LIKE TO
6	ADDRESS TO ME AT THIS TIME REGARDING YOUR CASE, MR. KIMBLE?
7	DEFENDANT:- NO, SIR.
8	THE COURT: - ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR. WOULD YOU
9	PLEASE BE SEATED NEXT TO YOUR ATTORNEY.
10	DOES THE DEFENDANT STIPULATE THAT A FACTUAL BASIS
11	EXISTS FOR THE ENTRY OF THE PLEAS OF GUILTY?
12	MR. CRUMPLER:- THE DEFENDANT DOES, YOUR HONOR.
13	THE COURT:- DO YOU STIPULATE THAT, IF NECESSARY, THE
14	STATE MAY SUMMARIZE THE FACTUAL BASIS?
15	MR. CRUMPLER:- YES, SIR, WE DO.
16	THE COURT:- THANK YOU.
17	MR. PANOSH, YOU MAY PROCEED.
18	MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME, I
19	BELIEVE COUNSEL WILL MOVE TO CONTINUE THE ACTUAL SENTENCING IN
20	THIS MATTER TO BRING IN WITNESSES. WE CONCUR IN THAT. THERE
21	ARE EIGHT VICTIMS THAT WE NEED TO NOTIFY SO THEY CAN BE PRESENT
22	FOR THAT PROCEEDING.
23	THE COURT:- I WILL GRANT ANY MOTION TO CONTINUE THE
24	SENTENCING. YOU MAY PROCEED WITH THE PLEA ADJUDICATION AT THIS
25	TIME.

1

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, IN REGARD TO THE FIRST DEGREE MURDER, THE STATE CONTENDS THAT ON OR ABOUT OCTOBER 9TH OF 1995, ABOUT 4:00 P.M. IN THE AFTERNOON, PATRICIA KIMBLE, WHO WAS THE DEFENDANT'S WIFE, WAS RETURNING TO HER HOME, AND AS SHE RETURNED TO HER HOME, SHE ENTERED AND SHE WAS SHOT IN THE SIDE OF THE HEAD. HER DEATH RESULTED ALMOST IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER. HER DWELLING WAS BURNED DOWN AROUND HER BODY. THE FIRE WAS DISCOVERED APPROXIMATELY 8:00 P.M. THAT EVENING, AND THE INVESTIGATION BEGAN.

THE INVESTIGATION TOOK ALMOST TWO YEARS TO LEAD TO AN THE COURSE OF THE INVESTIGATION LED US TO BELIEVE THAT THE DEFENDANT WENT OUT AND ATTEMPTED TO OBTAIN LARGE LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES ON HIS WIFE. HE DID, IN FACT, TRANSFER ONE LIFE INSURANCE POLICY TO HIS NAME FOR \$50,000.00. HE DID ACQUIRE A SECOND LIFE INSURANCE POLICY FOR \$50,000.00, AND THERE WOULD BE EVIDENCE TO SHOW THAT HE BELIEVED THAT HE WAS ENTITLED TO A THIRD \$50,000.00 POLICY THROUGH HER WORK. ALSO ATTEMPTED IN SEPTEMBER OF 1995, IN THE DAYS BEFORE HER DEATH, TO OBTAIN A \$200,000.00 LIFE INSURANCE POLICY ON HER LIFE, AND, IN FACT, HE DID FORGE AN APPLICATION FOR THAT POLICY, AND THAT WAS PENDING APPROVAL AT THE TIME OF HER MURDER.

THE EVIDENCE WOULD SHOW THAT ON OCTOBER 9TH OF 1995, THE DEFENDANT'S BROTHER, WHO WAS A MARINE STATIONED AT CAMP LEJEUNE, RETURNED TO GREENSBORO, SPOKE TO HIS BROTHER, AND THAT AT APPROXIMATELY THAT TIME, APPROXIMATELY 3:00 TO 4:00 P.M., HE WENT TO HER HOME, LET HIMSELF IN WITH A KEY, AND WAS WAITING FOR HER WHEN SHE ARRIVED HOME, AND THE BROTHER DID KILL MS.

KIMBLE. THE EVIDENCE — THE DEFENDANT'S BROTHER HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN CONVICTED BY A JURY OF THAT OFFENSE.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE EVIDENCE WOULD INDICATE THAT, THEREAFTER, THEY
TOOK STEPS TO COVER UP THE CONSPIRACY, INCLUDING NOT REVEALING
TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THAT THE BROTHER, RONNIE KIMBLE,
WAS IN GUILFORD COUNTY AT THE TIME OF THE DEATH.

AS TIME WENT ALONG, YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENDANT BECAME ENGAGED IN A BREAKING AND ENTRY SCHEME, AND IN THAT BREAKING AND ENTRY SCHEME WHICH BEGAN IN LATE 1996, HE HAD TWO CO-CONSPIRATORS IN THE COURSE OF A NUMBER OF OFFENSES. ADMITTED TO THOSE CO-CONSPIRATORS THAT HE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEATH OF HIS WIFE. EVENTUALLY, HIS BROTHER WENT TO AN ASSOCIATE, A FORMER MARINE, AND ADMITTED TO THAT FORMER MARINE THAT HE HAD, IN FACT, KILLED MS. KIMBLE, AND THAT HE HAD DONE SO IN AN ATTEMPT TO GET THE INSURANCE MONEY, AND THAT HE CONSPIRED WITH HIS BROTHER. ONCE THAT INFORMATION CAME TO LIGHT IN ABOUT FEBRUARY OF 1997, THE INVESTIGATION HEATED UP, AND, IN APRIL, THE DEFENDANT WAS ARRESTED, AND SHORTLY AFTER APRIL OF 1997, THE TWO CO-CONSPIRATORS IN THE BREAKING AND ENTRY SCHEME CAME FORWARD AND GAVE THEIR INFORMATION ABOUT THE DEFENDANT'S ADMISSIONS TO THEM. SINCE THAT TIME, THE DEFENDANT HAS PLED GUILTY AND HE WAS INCARCERATED IN THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ON OR ABOUT -- IN OCTOBER AND NOVEMBER OF 1998, THERE WAS A SERIES OF SCHEMES HE DEVISED FOR ESCAPE, AND ABOUT NOVEMBER 4, 1998, HE COMMUNICATED TO ONE WILLIAM STEWART HIS DESIRE TO NOT ONLY ESCAPE, BUT TO HAVE WITNESSES KILLED. BELIEVING THAT MR. STEWART WAS ABOUT TO GET OUT OF PRISON, HE DELIVERED TO HIM A HANDWRITTEN LIST OF EIGHT WITNESSES AND SPOUSES OF WITNESSES THAT HE WANTED KILLED, AND INSTRUCTIONS THAT WERE SOMEWHAT IN CODE BUT THEY WERE VERY EASY TO DETERMINE. MR. STEWART, UPON RECEIVING THESE DOCUMENTS, NOTIFIED THE D.A.'S OFFICE. WE INTERVIEWED HIM. HE TURNED THEM OVER TO US. SUBSEQUENTLY, THE DEFENDANT'S FINGERPRINTS WERE FOUND ON THOSE DOCUMENTS, ALONG WITH THE S.B.I. OPINION THAT SHOWS THAT IT WAS HIS HANDWRITING. THE LIST OF WITNESSES WAS ON A DIAGRAM OF THE COURTROOM, AND IT SHOWED THIS COURTROOM AND THE COURTROOM BELOW IT, AND ALSO, THE HOLDING CELLS, AND IT ALSO LISTED THE VICTIMS THAT HE WANTED TO KILL, THE WITNESSES THAT HE WANTED TO KILL, AND DIRECTIONS TO THEIR HOMES. YOUR HONOR, THAT WOULD BE THE BASIS OF THE EIGHT COUNTS OF SOLICITATION TO COMMIT MURDER.

YOUR HONOR, SINCE THIS MATTER IS GOING TO BE
CONTINUED, I'D LIKE AN OPPORTUNITY ON THAT FUTURE DATE TO
PRESENT MORE DETAILED EVIDENCE, BUT THAT WOULD BE THE STATE'S
RECITATION AT THIS TIME.

MR. ZIMMERMAN:- YOUR HONOR ---

THE COURT:- THE STATE MAY RESERVE THE RIGHT ON UDGMENT.

YES, SIR?

MR. ZIMMERMAN:— IF YOUR HONOR PLEASE, I DON'T WANT
TO BELABOR THE COURT. I'VE STOOD WHERE MR. PANOSH STOOD FOR A
LONG TIME, AND — BUT WE STIPULATED THAT THERE WAS A FACTUAL
BASIS FOR THE ENTRY OF THIS PLEA. I UNDERSTAND YOUR HONOR HAS
TO HEAR A LITTLE BIT ABOUT IT TO MAKE THE PROPER ENTRIES. I
JUST WOULD ASK THE COURT IN ITS INFINITE WISDOM TO PLEASE LOOK
THROUGH SOME OF THE FACTS THAT HE'S RECITED THAT HE SAYS HE CAN
PROVE. A LOT OF THOSE, WE CONTEST, AND WE'D BE OFFERING
EVIDENCE ALSO TO CONTRADICT SOME OF THAT EVIDENCE, AND I WOULD
APPRECIATE YOUR HONOR KEEPING AN OPEN MIND ABOUT IT WHEN IT
COMES TO PASSING SENTENCE ON THE MATTER BASED ON WHAT THE

THE COURT:- MR. ZIMMERMAN, THE COURT WILL HEAR ANY EVIDENCE WHATSOEVER RELEVANT TO THE ISSUES OF JUDGMENT AT THE TIME OF THE SENTENCING HEARING.

MR. ZIMMERMAN:- I THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT:- IS THERE ANY OBJECTION AT THIS TIME TO

THE FACTUAL SHOWING MADE BY THE STATE ON THE FACTUAL BASIS?

MR. CRUMPLER:- YOUR HONOR, NOT OTHER -- ONLY WITH

THE EXCEPTION OF WHAT MR. ZIMMERMAN JUST RELATED TO YOU.

THE COURT:- DOES THE DEFENDANT WISH TO PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE ON THE FACTUAL BASIS AT THIS TIME?

MR. CRUMPLER:- NO, SIR. 1 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- NOT AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR. 2 THE COURT:- THANK YOU. 3 ENTER FINDINGS, PURSUANT TO A CONSIDERATION OF THE 4 RECORD IN THIS ACTION, PURSUANT TO A -- THE STATEMENTS OF 5 COUNSEL REGARDING THE FACTUAL BASIS, PURSUANT TO THE ANSWERS OF 6 THE DEFENDANT TO THE COURT, STATEMENTS OF COUNSEL, THE COURT 7 FINDS THAT A FACTUAL BASIS EXISTS FOR THE ENTRY OF THE 8 DEFENDANT'S PLEA OF GUILTY. THE COURT FINDS THAT THE DEFENDANT 9 IS SATISFIED WITH THE SERVICES OF COUNSEL. THE COURT FINDS 10 THAT THE DEFENDANT IS COMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL AND THAT THE 11 PLEA ENTERED IS THE DEFENDANT'S INFORMED CHOICE AND IT IS MADE 12 FREELY, VOLUNTARILY AND UNDERSTANDINGLY. THE DEFENDANT'S PLEA 13 IS HEREBY ACCEPTED BY THE COURT AND IT IS ORDERED RECORDED. 14 THE DEFENDANT HAS HERETOFORE STIPULATED AND DO YOU 15 STIPULATE AT THIS TIME, COUNSEL, THAT THE STATE'S SHOWING OF 16 TWO PRIOR RECORD POINTS IS AN ACCURATE POINT ASSESSMENT? 17 MR. ZIMMERMAN:- SO STIPULATED. 18 THE COURT:- THE COURT FINDS THAT THE DEFENDANT 19 STIPULATES THAT THE DEFENDANT IS SUBJECT TO AN ASSESSMENT OF 20 TWO PRIOR RECORD POINTS, AND THE COURT CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF 21 LAW THAT THE DEFENDANT IS SUBJECT TO SENTENCE AT PRIOR RECORD 22 LEVEL TWO ON THE FELONY OFFENSES BEFORE THE COURT.

UPON THE MOTION OF THE DEFENDANT, AND WITHOUT OBJECTION BY THE STATE, THE SENTENCING HEARING IN THIS

23

24

1	PROCEEDING SHALL BE CONTINUED FROM DAY TO DAY AND FROM TERM TO
2	TERM. DO YOU HAVE A TIME CERTAIN IN MIND AT THIS TIME,
3	GENTLEMEN?
4	MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, WE'LL MEET WHATEVER IS
5	AGREEABLE WITH COUNSEL.
6	MR. CRUMPLER:- YOUR HONOR
7	THE COURT:- DO YOU KNOW WHEN YOU WANT TO COME BACK,
8	MR. CRUMPLER?
9	MR. CRUMPLER:- WE WOULD LIKE TWO WEEKS, AND I'M
10	REALLY ASKING THE COURT. WOULD THAT FIT INTO THE COURT'S
11	DOCKET, BECAUSE IF WE HAVE ROUGHLY THAT AMOUNT OF TIME, THAT
12	WOULD BE SUFFICIENT FOR WHAT WE NEED.
13	THE COURT:- I BELIEVE I'M STARTING A CAPITAL TRIAL
14	IN HIGH POINT FEBRUARY 8TH.
15	MR. PANOSH:- I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.
16	CAN COUNSEL AND I CONFER AND COME TO YOU WITH A DATE?
17	THE COURT:- YES, SIR.
18	MAKE THIS ENTRY. THE SENTENCING HEARING IN THESE
19	PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONTINUED FROM DAY TO DAY AND FROM TERM TO
20	TERM UNTIL SUCH TIME AS IT SHALL PLEASE THE STATE AND THE
21	DEFENDANT BY CONSENT TO BRING THIS MATTER ON FOR HEARING FOR
22	SENTENCE BEFORE THE UNDERSIGNED PRESIDING JUDGE.
23	LET THE RECORD FURTHER SHOW THAT THE COURT ACCEPTS
24	THE WAIVER OF VENUE FILED IN THIS ACTION AND ORDERS VENUE
25	TRANSFERRED TO GUILFORD COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA, WITH ALL REGARD

1	FOR THOSE OFFENSES AND ISSUES SET OUT IN CASES 23241 TO 23248.
2	LET THE RECORD FURTHER SHOW THAT, BY STIPULATION OF
3	THE PARTIES, THE SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM ISSUED FOR THIS DATE HAS
4	BEEN QUASHED BY ORDER OF THE COURT AND THE WITNESS SUBPOENAED
5	PURSUANT TO THAT PROCESS HAS BEEN RELEASED FROM THE COURT PRIOR
6	TO THIS HEARING.
7	ANYTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME, COUNSEL?
8	MR. PANOSH:- YOUR HONOR, YOU ANTICIPATE SENDING HIM
9	BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS UNTIL THE DATE
10	THE COURT:- THAT WAS MY INTENT; YES, SIR.
11	MR. PANOSH:- WE'D ASK THAT; YES, SIR.
12	THE COURT:- ALL RIGHT. THAT WILL BE DONE.
13	HE'S REMANDED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
14	SHERIFF.
15	ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
16	MR. ZIMMERMAN:- YOUR HONOR PLEASE, WE NEED TO TALK
17	TO OUR CLIENT JUST A LITTLE BIT AND WE'D ASK YOU TO HOLD HIM
18	BACK HERE
19	THE COURT:- SHERIFF, WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE COUNSEL
20	AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER WITH THEIR CLIENT?
21	HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU NEED?
22	MR. ZIMMERMAN:- ABOUT 20 MINUTES, YOUR HONOR PLEASE
23	THE COURT:- THAT WILL BE ALLOWED.
24	
25	END OF TRANSCRIPT