1

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PANOSH:

2

(Witness stood aside.)

3

the evidence. We'd ask the Court permission to introduce

5

4

the FAX when it arrives.

6

7

8

motions, counsel?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That will be allowed. want to be heard in opposition to this defendant's

Your Honor, that would be all

MR. PANOSH: Your Honor, we would submit on the Answer that we filed in reference to the medication, we would submit that the records show the defendant was taking exactly the medication that he was taking at the time that Your Honor saw him on that date. You've had a chance to evaluate his person, his appearance, his demeanor. And based upon his questions, based upon your observations, you made a finding that those prescription medications were not affecting him, and there's been no evidence to the contrary. Also, I point out that he was seen by Dr. Tyson as recently as February 22nd. he was on the same medication. Again, Dr. Tyson didn't feel that that was affecting his ability to answer the questions and give reliable information based upon the statements here in court.

Your Honor, I think that the defendant's letter when he says he was threatened is not born out by

the evidence. And his testimony here simply says that he 1 was advised of the potential that if he went to trial 2 that he could receive capital punishment, and that there 3 is no evidence that he was threatened by any individual. 4 He says at this time he said then that he was satisfied 5 with counsel. He says that he was coerced, but I think 6 the most important part of his testimony is that he went 7 over the transcript of plea in a room alone with his two 8 counsel and signed that transcript of plea. And there's 9 no indication whatsoever that anyone was present 10 threatening him or coercing him at that time. We'd ask 11 you to take into consideration the legal precedent we 12 13 cited in our Answer, and deny the motion to withdraw. THE COURT: All right, thank you. 14

MR. KIMBLE: May I be heard, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir. Go ahead, please.

MR. KIMBLE: Uh, I'd like to first of all point out that environment -- that environment uncontrolled versus controlled has a great impact, and even the doctor himself pointed that out. I might also further add that I had been mentally taunted by inmates due to the press conference called---

MR. PANOSH: We object to any allegations that haven't been previously presented in evidence.

THE COURT: All right, that will be

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

sustained. You need to restrict yourself to matters that 1 you have previously presented to the Court, Mr. Kimble, 2 during this hearing. 3 MR. KIMBLE: Yes, sir, Your Honor. I might 4 further add that jailers were, uh, the SBI or either the 5 detectives were handing me my medication---6 MR. PANOSH: We object. There's been no 7 That hasn't been previously presented. evidence of that. 8 Again, Mr. Kimble, at this point THE COURT: 9 you may present your contentions supported by evidence 10 that you've already offered to the Court. 11 MR. KIMBLE: Okay. She agreed that she did 12 not ask -- the young lady agreed that she never asked her 13 employees whether or not they were administering 14 medication to me. 15 I might also point out that in the discovery 16 here that they pointed out that I was on 50mg a day, I'm 17 on 150mg a day. I get it three times a day, twice just 18 before going to bed---19 MR. PANOSH: We again, we object to him 20 testifying. There's no evidence to support this. 21 MR. KIMBLE: He didn't bring it forward. 22 THE COURT: That is sustained. You'll need 23 to restrict yourself to matters that were in evidence 24 prior to your statement at this time. 25

MR. KIMBLE: To the facts presented already 1 is what you're saying? 2 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 3 MR. KIMBLE: Even though they were not 4 presented? 5 THE COURT: You had a full opportunity to 6 present evidence, Mr. Kimble. 7 MR. KIMBLE: May I be sworn to testify, Your 8 Honor? 9 Go ahead. You're still under THE COURT: 10 Go ahead. oath. 11 MR. KIMBLE: Stand here? 12 THE BAILIFF: Yes. 13 Here? MR. KIMBLE: 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MR. KIMBLE: Your Honor, I'd like to testify 16 to being on medication three times a day, 50mg each of 17 Vistaril, which I get 50mg at dinner and 50mg at bedtime. 18 Therefore, it induces me in the mornings to somewhat of a 19 morning sickness, and sometimes a weary feeling. I also 20 take the medication in the morning upon waking up. 21 Therefore, it induces sometimes an intoxicated effect. 22 Uh, I'm also on Paxil, 20mg a day, which was pointed out 23 I took on that particular day. 24 Uh, I'd also like to further state that for

25

the record that I had been mentally taunted by other inmates saying that Mr. Panosh was going to fry me, was going to kill me, prior to coming to court that day.

MR. PANOSH: This evidence is not supportive of any allegations before Your Honor.

MR. KIMBLE: Due to his press conferences and volunteering information to the press making it public knowledge to other inmates, and which in Troy is the primary newspaper is the *Greensboro News and Record*. My case is common knowledge among the inmates. Inmates reading everything that Mr. Panosh had put in the newspaper invoked them to retaliate against me. Prior to coming to court I felt my life was threatened. Coming to court, being involved with law enforcement with everyone toting guns around me and staring me down, telling me to get out of the car, come this way, go that way, being put into a cell, and then further information from my lawyers saying take this deal or die, I felt my life was beyond threatened, my safety, primarily from Mr. Panosh.

Uh, I'd also like -- like I said, the environment condition. It's easy to sit in a room one on one with a physician where my nerves are calm and I'm relaxed versus coming in here where I'm extremely nervous and I feel like I'm being stepped on. I'm not a very outspoken person. I may be today, but many times I'm

very down and depressed. And my medication has different 1 effects at different days. Just like I may go and be 2 evaluated by the Department of Corrections psychologist 3 one day, she asked me where I rate from 1 to 10, I have 4 to give her a 1 to 10 answer; 1 being the best and 10 5 being the worst. On any day it's liable to be anywhere 6 on that chart. Under the uncontrolled environment which 7 I was placed last time being extremely intimidated, all 8 facts correlated together, I felt that I was being 9 threatened upon death, not 'necessarily from the death 10 penalty as much as from Mr. Panosh. 11 Any cross-examination? 12 Are you finished? THE COURT: 13 MR. KIMBLE: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: You wish to cross-examine? 15 MR. PANOSH: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Anything 17 further at this time? 18 MR. PANOSH: We have documents. I'll submit 19 them, if you want to review them. If you gentlemen want 20 to see them? 21 (Documents handed to Mr. Zimmerman and Mr. Crumpler.) 22 MR. PANOSH: Your Honor, I'll hand those up. 23 State's #1 is seven pages. 24

25

MR. KIMBLE: Your Honor, I'd like to be heard

on the document.

THE COURT: All right, sir.

MR. KIMBLE: Uh, I've had no time to review the document. No prior knowledge to it. I'd also like to move -- upon sitting here reviewing it, it was filled out when they didn't give me the medication. How could they have known when I got it and when I didn't. If you'll note on the 25th, I was in court that day and they filled it out as though they had administered it to me. So, I object---

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

MR. KIMBLE: ---to the introduction of the information.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.
(Pause.)

THE COURT: At this time is there anything further for the defendant or for the respondent State?

MR. PANOSH: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Enter this order. This matter is before the Court upon the defendant's motion submitted pro se to the Court that he be allowed to withdraw a plea of guilty, which the defendant entered before the Court on January 28th, 1999 before the undersigned presiding judge. Upon the defendant's appearance in court on January 28th, 1999,